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## Preliminaries, Notations and Results

Let us consider a triangular matrix whose $n$th row consists of the $n$ zeros, $\left\{\xi_{k n}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}=X_{0}$, of the Legendre polynomial $P_{n}(x)$ with normalization $P_{n}(1)=1$ and another matrix whose $(n-1)$ st row has exactly $(n-1)$ elements $\left\{x_{k n}\right\}_{k=1}^{n-1}=Y_{0}$ which are the zeros of $P_{n}^{\prime}(x)$. Writing $x_{k}$ for $x_{k n}$, $l_{k}(x)$ for $l_{k n}(x)$, etc., and sometimes omitting superfluous notations, we define a so-called quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation process $Q_{n}(f ; X ; x)$ constructed on the set of nodes $X=X_{0} \cup\{-1,1\}$ (a polynomial of degree $\leqslant 2 n+1)$ by the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(f ; X ; \pm 1)=f( \pm 1) ; Q_{n}\left(f ; X ; \zeta_{k}\right)=f\left(\xi_{k}\right), Q_{n}^{\prime}\left(f ; X ; \zeta_{k}\right)=0 ; \quad k=\bar{T}, n . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as is well known, $Q_{n}(f ; X ; x)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{n}(f ; X ; x)= & f(1) \frac{1+x}{2} P_{n}^{2}(x)+f(-1) \frac{1-x}{2} P_{n}^{2}(x) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\check{\zeta}_{k}\right) \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-\check{\zeta}_{k}^{2}} P_{k}^{2}(x), \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $l_{k}(x), k=\overline{1, n}$, is the fundamental Lagrange interpolation polynomial built on the set of nodes $X_{0}$.

The polynomial $Q_{n}(f ; X ; x)$ is known to be uniformly convergent (U.C.) if $f \in C(I), I=[-1,1]$. In regard to the rate of convergence, Prasad and

[^0]Varma [4] and N. Mistra [3] supplied independent pointwise estimates repectively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{n}(f ; X ; x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{1}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{n}(f ; X ; x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant C_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3,4}\left|P_{n}(x)\right|}{i^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ will denote absolute positive constants independent of $n$ and $x$. $\omega_{j}(\cdot)$ denotes the usual modules of continuity of $f$. Generally speaking (1.3) and (1.4) are almost the same. In fact, (1.3) is a direct consequence of (1.4), and (1.4) also reflects the fact that our polynomials are interpolatory.

As we hall see later in this section, still another type of pointwise estimate, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|Q_{n}(f ; X ; x)-f(x)\right|= & O\left[\sqrt{1-x^{2}} P_{n}^{2}(x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i}+\frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}\left|P_{n}(x)\right|}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)\right] \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

holds true. It may be mentioned that both (1.3) and (1.4) are easy conclusions of (1.5). Therefore we outline the proof of the equality (1.5) and mention other U.C. processes that may produce identical estimates. For this purpose, we start with the process $H_{n}(f ; Y ; x)$ built on the set of nodes $Y=Y_{0} \cup\{-1,1\}$ uniquely defined by the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
H_{n}\left(f ; Y ; x_{k}\right)=f\left(x_{k}\right) ; \quad H_{n}^{\prime}\left(f ; Y ; x_{k}\right)=0 ; \quad k=\overline{1, n-1}  \tag{1.6}\\
H_{n}(f ; Y ; \pm 1)=f( \pm 1) ; \quad H_{n}^{\prime}(f ; Y ; \pm 1)=0
\end{array}
$$

The interpolatory operator $H_{n}(f ; Y ; x)$ represented therefore by

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{n}(f ; Y ; x)= & f(1)\left\{1+(1-x) \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right\}\left\{\frac{(1+x) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{n+n+1}\right\}^{2} \\
& +f(-1)\left\{1+(1+x) \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right\}\left\{\frac{(1-x) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{(n+1) n}\right\}^{2} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f\left(x_{k}\right) \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2} P_{n}^{\prime 2}(x)}{n^{2}(n+1)^{2} P_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

will be shown to satisfy an equality of the form (1.5), viz.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|H_{n}(f ; Y ; x)-f(x)\right| \\
& =O\left[\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} P_{n}^{\prime 2}(x)}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i}+\frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left|\pi_{n+1}(x)\right|}{n^{3 / 2}}\right)\right], \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\pi_{n+1}(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)$.
Let us now take up another so-called Hermite-Féjér type of quasi-step parabola $\Lambda_{n, 2}(f ; X ; x)$ defined uniquely by the conditions ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Lambda_{n, 2}\left(f ; X ; \xi_{k}\right)=f\left(\xi_{k}\right) ; \quad \Lambda_{n, 2}^{(v)}\left(f ; X ; \xi_{k}\right)=0, \quad k=\overline{1, n} ; v=1,2,3,  \tag{1.9}\\
A_{n .2}(f ; X ; \pm 1)=f( \pm 1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The interpolation polynomial $A_{n, 2}(f ; X ; x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n, 2}(f: & X ; x) \\
= & f(1) \frac{1+x}{2} P_{n}^{4}(x)+f(-1) \frac{1-x}{2} P_{n}^{4}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{k}\right) \\
& \times\left\{\frac{1-2 x \xi_{k}+\xi_{k}^{2}}{1-\zeta_{k}^{2}}+\frac{\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3} n(n+1)\right)\left(1-x \xi_{k}\right)\right\} \\
& \times \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}} 4_{k}^{4}(x) . \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

It is shown by A. Sharma and his associates [5] that the process $A_{n, 2}(f ; X ; x)$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on $I$. We again emphasize that the equality of the type (1.5), viz.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|A_{n, 2}(f, x)-f(x)\right| \\
& =O\left[n\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{4}(x)\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i^{2}}\right)+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}\left|P_{n}(x)\right|}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)\right], \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

is satisfied by $A_{n, 2}(f ; X ; x)$ also.

[^1]Exactly in the same way the $A_{n, 4}(f ; Y ; x)$ uniquely defined by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Lambda_{n .4}\left(f ; Y ; x_{k}\right) & =f\left(x_{k}\right), & \Lambda_{n, 4}^{(v)}\left(f ; Y ; x_{k}\right)=0 ; & \\
\Lambda_{n, 4}(f ; Y ; \pm 1)=f( \pm 1), & \Lambda_{n, 4}^{(v)}(f ; Y ; \pm 1)=0 ; & v=1,2, \tag{1.12}
\end{array}
$$

and represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n, 4}(f ; Y ; x)= & \frac{f(1)(1+x)^{3} P_{n}^{\prime 4}(x)}{\{n(n+1)\}^{4}}\{2+(n-1)(n+2)(1-x) \\
& \left.+\frac{(n-4)(n+1) n(n+5)}{12}(1-x)^{2}\right\} \\
& +f(-1) \frac{(1-x)^{3} P_{n}^{\prime 4}(x)}{\{n(n+1)\}^{4}}\{2+(n-1)(n+2)(1+x) \\
& \left.+\frac{(n-4) n(n+1)(n+5)}{12}(1+x)^{2}\right\}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f\left(x_{k}\right) \\
& \times\left\{\varphi_{k}^{4}(x)+\frac{2}{3} \frac{\varphi_{k}^{4}(x)\left(1-x_{k}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right\} 1-x_{k}^{2}} n(n+1)\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{1.13}\\
\varphi_{k}(x)= & \frac{\pi_{n+1}(x)}{\pi_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)}=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{n(n+1) P_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x_{k}-x\right)} \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

may be shown to satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|A_{n, 4}(f ; Y ; x)-f(x)\right| \\
&= O\left[\frac{\left(\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3 / 4} P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{4}}{n^{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i}+\frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3 / 4}\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|}{n^{3 / 2}}\right)\right] . \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to illustrate the techniques of the proofs of (1.5), (1.8) (1.11), and (1.15), we now consider mixed types of the two processes $H_{n}$ and $\Delta_{n}$ which are constructed by the following two sets of conditions on the matrix of nodes the $(2 n+1)$ th row of which consists of the elements of the set $Z=Y \cup X_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
H_{n}\left(f ; Z ; \xi_{k}\right)=f\left(\xi_{k}\right) ; & H_{n}^{\prime}\left(f ; Z ; \xi_{k}\right)=0 ; & k=\overline{1, n} \\
H_{n}\left(f ; Z ; x_{k}\right)=f\left(x_{k}\right) ; & H_{n}^{\prime}\left(f ; Z ; x_{k}\right)=0 ; & k=\overline{1, n-1},  \tag{1.16}\\
H_{n}(f ; Z ; \pm 1)=f( \pm 1) ; & H_{n}^{\prime}(f ; Z ; \pm 1)=0 & &
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Lambda_{n}\left(f ; Z ; \xi_{k}\right)=f\left(\xi_{k}\right) ; \quad \Lambda_{n}\left(f ; Z ; x_{k}\right)=f\left(x_{k}\right), \\
\Lambda_{n}(f ; Z ; \pm 1)=f( \pm 1),  \tag{1.17}\\
\Lambda_{n}^{(\nu)}\left(f ; Z ; \xi_{k}\right)=\Lambda_{n}^{(\nu)}\left(f ; Z ; x_{k}\right)=\Lambda_{n}^{(\nu)}(f ; Z ; \pm 1)=0 ; \quad v=1,2,3 .
\end{gather*}
$$

These processes are explicitly represented by the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{n}(f ; Z ; x)= & \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{k}\right) h_{k}^{(1)}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f\left(x_{k}\right) h_{k}^{(2)}(x) \\
& +f(1) h_{0}(x)+f(-1) h_{n}(x) \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{n}(-x)= & h_{0}(x)=\left\{1+\frac{3}{2} n(n+1)(1-x)\right\} \frac{(1+x)^{2} P_{n}^{2}(x) P_{n}^{\prime 2}(x)}{n(n+1)^{2}},  \tag{1.19}\\
h_{k}^{(1)}(x)= & \left\{1-\frac{2 \xi_{k}}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}}\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)\right\} \frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2} P_{n}^{\prime 4}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}} ; \\
h_{k}^{(2)}(x)= & \frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}(n+1)^{2} P_{n}^{4}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} ; \quad k=\overline{1, n-1},  \tag{1.20}\\
A_{n}(f ; Z ; x)= & \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{k}\right) \lambda_{k}^{(1)}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f\left(x_{k}\right) \lambda_{k}^{(2)}(x)  \tag{1.21}\\
& +f(1) \lambda_{0}(x)+f(-1) \lambda_{n}(x), \\
& +\frac{n(n+1)}{6}\left(119 n^{2}+119 n+8\right)(1-x)^{2}  \tag{1.22}\\
\lambda_{n}(-x)= & \lambda_{0}(x)=1+3 n(n+1)(1-x) \\
& \times \frac{5 n(n+1)}{8}\left(349 n^{4}+698 n^{3}+401 n^{2}+52 n+12\right)(1-x)^{3} \\
& \times \frac{(1+x)^{4} P_{n}^{4}(x) P_{n}^{\prime 4}(x)}{n^{4}(n+1)^{4}}, \\
& \times \frac{8 n(n+1)}{n^{4}(n+1)^{4} P_{n}^{8}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{4}} ; \tag{1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{k}^{(1)}(x)= & {\left[1-\frac{4 \xi_{k}\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)}+\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \times\left\{\frac{14 \xi_{k}^{2}}{3\left(1-\xi_{\xi}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{4\left(2 n^{2}+2 n-1\right)}{3\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)}\right\} \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(\xi_{k}-x\right)^{3}}{3}\left\{\frac{4 \xi_{k}^{3}}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left(26 n^{2}+26 n-8\right) \xi_{k}}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}\right] \\
& \times \frac{R_{n}^{4}(x)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{4} P_{n}^{\prime 8}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{4}}  \tag{1.25}\\
R_{n}(x)= & P_{n}(x) \pi_{n+1}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

The expressions (1.22) and (1.18) are readily obtained by making use of the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{R_{n}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)} & =\frac{2 \xi_{k}}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}} ; \frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}{R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}=0 ; \\
\frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{R_{n}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)} & =\frac{8 \xi_{k}^{2}}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\left(4 n^{2}+4 n-2\right)}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}} ; \frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}{R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}=\frac{-4 n(n+1)}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}} ;  \tag{1.26}\\
\frac{R_{n}^{(\mathrm{iv})}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}{R_{n}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}= & =\frac{48 \xi_{k}^{3}}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{3}}-\frac{\xi_{k}\left(28 n^{2}+28-24\right)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}} ; \frac{R^{(\mathrm{iv})}\left(x_{k}\right)}{R_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}=\frac{-20 x_{k}(n+1) n}{\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}} ; \\
\frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)}{R_{n}^{\prime}(1)} & =\frac{3}{2} n(n+1)=-\frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime}(-1)}{R_{n}^{\prime}(-1)} ; \\
\frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)}{R_{n}^{\prime}(1)} & =\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\left(2 n^{2}+2 n-1\right)=\frac{R_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(-1)}{R_{n}^{\prime}(-1)} ;  \tag{1.27}\\
\frac{R_{n}^{(\mathrm{iv})}(1)}{R_{n}^{\prime}(1)} & =\frac{5(n-1) n(n+1)(n+2)}{48}\left(7 n^{2}+7 n-6\right)=-\frac{R_{n}^{(\mathrm{iv})}(-1)}{R_{n}^{\prime}(-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The relation (1.26) and (1.27) can be verified by the differential equations satisfied, respectively, by $P_{n}(x)$ and $\pi_{n+1}(x)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 x P_{n}^{\prime}(x)+n(n+1) P_{n}(x) & =0 \\
\left(1-x^{2}\right) \pi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+n(n+1) \pi_{n+1}(x) & =0 \tag{1.28}
\end{align*}
$$

and by the following relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n}^{\prime}(1)=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)=(-1)^{n-1} P_{n}(-1) \\
& P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1)=\frac{1}{8}(n+2)(n+1) n(n-1)=(-1)^{n} P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1) & =\frac{1}{48}(n+3)(n+2)(n+1)(n)(n-1)(n-2) \\
& =(-1)^{n-1} P_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(-1) \\
P_{n}^{(\text {iv })}(1) & =\frac{1}{384}(n+4)(n+3)(n+2)(n+1) n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \\
& =(-1)^{n} P_{n}^{(i v)}(-1) ; \\
\pi_{n+1}^{\prime}(1) & =-n(n+1)=(-1)^{n-1} \pi_{n+1}^{\prime}(-1) ;  \tag{1.29}\\
\pi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}(1) & =-\frac{1}{2} n^{2}(n+1)^{2}=(-1)^{n} \pi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}(-1) ; \\
\pi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1) & =-\frac{1}{8}(n+2)(n-1) n^{2}(n+1)^{2}=(-1)^{n-1} \pi_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(-1) ; \\
\pi_{n+1}^{(\text {iv) }(1)} & =-\frac{1}{48} n^{2}(n+1)^{2}(n+3)(n+2)(n-1)(n-2) \\
& =(-1)^{n} \pi_{n+1}^{(\mathrm{iv})}(-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the process $A_{n}(f ; x)$ defined for any set of nodes $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ that are the zeros of orthogonal polynomial $W_{n}(x)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(f ; x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(x_{k}\right) u_{k}(x) L_{k}^{4}(x) \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{k}(x)= & 1-2\left(x-x_{k}\right) \frac{W_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}{W_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)} \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}}{2}\left\{5\left[\frac{W_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}{W_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}\right]^{2}-\frac{4}{3} \frac{W_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}{W_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}\right\} \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{3}}{6}\left\{-15\left[\frac{W_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}{W_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}\right]^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+10 \frac{W_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right) W_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}{\left[W_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}-\frac{W_{n}^{\prime i v}\left(x_{k}\right)}{W_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}\right\}  \tag{1.31}\\
L_{k}(x)= & \frac{W_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{W_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)}, \quad k=\overline{1, n} \tag{1.32}
\end{align*}
$$

We now state the main result of this section as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $H_{n}(f ; Z ; x)$ and $A_{n}(f ; Z ; x)$ be represented by (1.18) and (1.22) and $f \in C(I)$; then for each $x \in I$ and every natural number $n$, we have
(a) $\left|H_{n}(f ; Z ; x)-f(x)\right|$

$$
=O\left[\frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i}+\frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}\right)\right]
$$

and
(b) $\left|\Lambda_{n}(f ; Z ; x)-f(x)\right|$

$$
=O\left[\frac{R_{n}^{4}(x)}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i}+\frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}\right)\right]
$$

In order the establish the theorem we need a series of results given in the forms of the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. For each $x \in I$ and $n \geqslant 3$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{k}^{(1)}(x) \leqslant \frac{C_{11}}{i_{1}^{2}}, \quad k \neq j_{1} ;\left|k-j_{1}\right|=i_{1},  \tag{1.33}\\
& h_{k}^{(2)}(x) \leqslant \frac{C_{12}}{i_{2}^{2}}, \quad k \neq j_{2} ;\left|k-j_{2}\right|=i_{2},  \tag{1.34}\\
& \lambda_{k}^{(1)}(x) \leqslant \frac{C_{13}}{i_{1}^{2}},  \tag{1.35}\\
& \lambda_{k}^{(2)}(x) \leqslant \frac{C_{14}}{i_{2}^{2}}, \tag{1.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x-\breve{\zeta}_{j_{1}}\right|=\min _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}\left|x-\xi_{k}\right| \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x-x_{j_{2}}\right|=\min _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1}\left|x-x_{k}\right| . \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. We have, for each $x \in I$ and $j_{1}, j_{2}$ defined by (1.37) and (1.38),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|x-\xi_{j_{1}}\right| h_{j_{1}}^{(1)}(x) \leqslant C_{15} \frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}  \tag{1.39}\\
& \left|x-x_{j_{2}}\right| h_{j_{2}}^{(2)}(x) \leqslant C_{16} \frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}  \tag{1.40}\\
& \left|x-\xi_{j_{1}}\right| \lambda_{j_{1}}^{(1)}(x) \leqslant C_{17} \frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n} \tag{1.41}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x-x_{j 2}\right| \lambda_{j 2}^{(2)}(x) \leqslant C_{18} \frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n} \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3 [O. Kis and P. Vertesi]. Let $x=\cos \theta, \xi_{k}=\cos \theta_{k}, x_{\text {a }}=$ $\cos \Psi_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{f}\left(\left|x-\xi_{k}\right|\right) & =O\left[\omega_{f}\left(\frac{i_{1} \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{n}+\frac{i_{1}^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)\right] ;
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
k \neq j_{1} \\
& =O\left[\omega_{j}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)\right] ;
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} 
& k=j_{1} .
\end{array}
$$

Similar estimates hold for $\omega_{f}\left[\left|x-x_{k}\right|\right)$.
Lemma 4. The following estimates are valid:
(i) $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}\left|P_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} n^{-1 / 2}$,
(ii) $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{34}\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{8}}{\pi} n^{1 ; 2}, \quad$ for $\quad x \in I, n \geqslant 3$,
(iii) $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1.2}\left|P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant n$,
(iv) $\left|P_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant 1$,
(v) $\left|R_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant 2$,
(vi) $1-\xi_{k}^{2}>\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2} ; \quad k=\overline{1,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$, $>\left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2} ; \quad k=\overline{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1, n}$,
(vii) $P_{n}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \sim k^{-3: 2} n^{2} ; k=\overline{1,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}$,

$$
\sim(n-k+1)^{-3 \cdot 2} n^{2}, \quad k=\overline{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1, n}
$$

(viii) $\frac{\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}<\theta_{k}<\frac{k \pi}{n+\frac{1}{2}}$;

$$
k=\widehat{1, n}
$$

(ix) $\left|P_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \geqslant \sqrt{\frac{1}{8 k \pi}}$, $k=\overline{1, n-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (x) } n \sqrt{1-x_{k}^{2}} P_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{8 \pi} \\
& \text { (xi) }\left(1-\zeta_{k}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2} P_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\xi_{k}\right) \geqslant C_{1} n ; \quad k=\overline{1, n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(xii) $1=x_{0}>\xi_{1}>x_{1}>\xi_{2}>x_{2}>\xi_{3}>\cdots>\xi_{n-1}>x_{n-1}>\xi_{n}>x_{n}=-1$.

Lemma 5. The following identities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}=1 \\
& \text { (ii) } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1-\xi_{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1+\xi_{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}}=P_{n}^{\prime}(1) \\
& \text { (iii) } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(1-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}}=P_{n}^{\prime} 2(1)-P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(1) \\
& \text { (iv) } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}} \xi_{k}^{2}(x)=1-P_{n}^{2}(x) \\
& \text { (v) } \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(1-\xi_{k}\right)^{3}\left(1+\xi_{k}\right) P_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\xi_{k}\right)}=P_{n}^{\prime}(1) \\
& \text { (vi) } \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right) P_{n}^{4}\left(x_{k}\right)} \frac{1}{1-x_{k}}=O\left(n^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. The following relation for the modulus of continuity of $f$ is valid for $x \in I$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i^{2}} & \left\{\omega_{f}\left(\frac{i \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{n}\right)+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{i^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)\right\} \\
& =O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{i}\right)+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{1}{i^{2}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of the various parts of the Lemma 5 can either be found in $[4,5]$ or can easily be established by making use of the definitions and results already known. For example, parts (ii) and (iii) are easy consequences of

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{n}^{\prime}(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{P_{n}(x)}{x-\xi_{k}}  \tag{1.43}\\
P_{n}^{\prime 2}(x)-P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) P_{n}(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{P_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6 has already been shown in the book of R. A. DeVore [1]. Most of the results stated in Lemma 4 can be found in any standard text on orthogonal polynomials (e.g., Szegö [6]). The rest were given by P. Turán, P. Erdös, and others. Lemma 3 is self-evident.

In order to prove Lemma 2 (say, (1.39)), we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{k}^{(1)}(x)=\frac{R_{,}(x)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)},  \tag{1.45}\\
& l_{k}^{(2)}(x)=\frac{R_{n}(x)}{n(n+1) P_{n}^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x_{k}-x\right)} . \tag{1.46}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain the following identities from the representations (1.22) and (1.18), putting $f(t) \equiv 1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \equiv h_{0}(x)+h_{n}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{k}^{(1)}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h_{k}^{(2)}(x),  \tag{1.47}\\
& 1 \equiv \lambda_{0}(x)+\lambda_{n}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{(1)}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{k}^{(2)}(x) . \tag{1.48}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from (1.19-(1.21) that

$$
h_{k}^{(1)}(x)>0, \quad h_{k}^{(2)}(x)>0 . \quad h_{n}(-x)=h_{0}(x)>0,
$$

which imply that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{k}^{(1)}(x) \leqslant 1
$$

From the above relation we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)+\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)}\right] l_{k}^{(1,2}(x) \leqslant 1
$$

or

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-\check{\zeta}_{k}^{2}} I_{k}^{(1) 2}(x) \leqslant 1-\frac{C_{2}\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}, \quad C_{2}<1,
$$

which, in turn, implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}}\left|l_{k}^{(1)}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{3}, \quad k=\overline{1, n} \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, owing to the representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x-x_{j_{1}}\right| h_{j_{1}}^{(1)}(x) & =\frac{\left\{\left(1-x^{2}\right)+\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}\right\}}{1-\xi_{k}^{2}}\left|x-\xi_{j_{1}}\right| l_{j_{2}}^{(1) 2}(x) \\
& \leqslant \frac{C_{2} R_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}}+\frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left|l_{j_{1}}^{(1)}(x)\right|}{\left(1-\xi_{j_{1}}^{2}\right)^{2} P_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(\xi_{j_{1}}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{C_{2} P_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}}+\frac{C_{4}\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n} \\
& \leqslant \frac{C_{5}\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

proving thereby (1.39).
Quite similarly it can be shown that

$$
\left|l_{k}^{(2)}(x)\right| \leqslant C_{6}, \quad k=\overline{1, n-1}
$$

Therefore from the inequality obtained from (1.47), i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} l_{k}^{(2)^{2}}(x) \leqslant C_{7}
$$

and the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x-\xi_{j_{2}}\right| h_{j_{2}}^{(2)}(x) & =\frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}(n+1)^{2} P_{n}^{4}\left(x_{j_{2}}\right)\left|x-x_{j_{2}}\right|} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n} \sqrt{1-x_{j_{2}}^{2}} \frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{n}\left|l_{j_{2}}^{(2)}(x)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{C_{8}\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

the assertion (1.40) follows at once.
We observe from the identity (1.48) that

$$
\lambda_{k}^{(1)}(x)>0, \quad \lambda_{k}^{(2)}(x)>0, \quad \lambda_{0}(x)=\lambda_{n}(-x)>0
$$

due to which

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{(1)}(x) \leqslant 1
$$

Owing to this inequality, we immediately have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\breve{\zeta}_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}} l_{k}^{(1) 4}(x) \leqslant C_{9}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x-\xi_{j \mid}\right| & \mid \lambda_{j 1}^{(1)}(x) \\
= & \left|x-\xi_{1}\right|\left\{\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2}}{1-\xi_{j 1}^{2}}+\frac{4}{3} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(x-\xi_{j 1}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\xi_{j 1}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& +\frac{\left(x-\xi_{j 1}\right)^{4}}{\left(1-\xi_{j 1}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(x-\xi_{j 1}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\xi_{j j}^{2}\right)^{3}}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{\left(x-\zeta_{j i}\right)^{4}}{\left(1-\xi_{j 1}^{2}\right)^{3}} \\
& +\frac{4\left(2 n^{2}+2 n-1\right)\left(x-\xi_{j 1}\right)^{2}}{3\left(1-\xi_{n}^{2}\right)^{3}}\left\{\left(1-x^{2}\right)+\left(x-\xi_{j l}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \left.-\frac{10 n(n+1) \xi_{j 1}\left(x-\xi_{j i}\right)^{3}}{3} \frac{\left(1-\xi_{j 1}^{2}\right)^{2}}{3}\right\} l_{n 1}^{(1)}(x) \\
\leqslant & C_{10} \frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n} . \tag{1.50}
\end{align*}
$$

The same arguments lead to (1.44) also. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.
We now show the various parts of Lemma 1. Owing to

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{k}^{(1)}(x) & =\frac{\left[\left(1-x^{2}\right)+\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}\right] R_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{3} P_{n}^{\prime 4}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) R_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{3} P_{n}^{\prime 4}\left(\xi_{k}\right)\left(x-\xi_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(1-\xi_{k}^{2}\right)^{3} P_{n}^{\prime 4}\left(\xi_{k}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{R_{n}^{2}(x) \sin ^{2} \theta}{C_{1}^{2} n^{2} 4 \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta+\theta_{k}}{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta-\theta_{k}}{2}}+\frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{C_{1}^{2} n^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{C_{1}^{2} n^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta-\theta_{k}}{2}}+\frac{R_{n}^{2}(x)}{C_{1}^{2} n^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 R_{n}^{2}(x)}{C_{1}^{2} n^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta-\theta_{k}}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \frac{\left|\theta-\theta_{k}\right|}{2} \geqslant \frac{C_{11} i_{1}}{n}, \quad i_{1}=\left|k-j_{1}\right| ; k \neq j_{1} \tag{1.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is evident by making use of suitable forms of Lemma 4, we immediately obtain (1.33). The inequality (1.34) can be proved similarly. Owing to (1.46) we get (1.36) if we take into account (1.24) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \frac{\left|\theta-\Psi_{k}\right|}{2} \geqslant \frac{C_{12} i_{2}}{n}, \quad i_{2}=\left|k-j_{2}\right| ; k \neq j_{2} \tag{1.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show (1.35), we make use of (1.51) and (1.25) and the representation (1.48). Thus we have completely proved Lemma 1.

To prove our main result, we take into account the identity (1.47) and write the difference

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{n}(f ; Z ; x)-f(x)= & {[f(1)-f(x)] h_{0}(x)+[f(-1)-f(x)] h_{n}(x) } \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[f\left(\xi_{k}\right)-f(x)\right] h_{k}^{(1)}(x) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[f\left(x_{k}\right)-f(x)\right] h_{k}^{(2)}(x) \\
= & \sum_{p=1}^{4} T_{p^{\prime}} \text { say } \tag{1.53}
\end{align*}
$$

To conclude the proof of the theorem, we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mid T_{1}\right\}+\left|T_{2}\right| \leqslant & {\left[(1+x) \omega_{f}(1-x)+(1-x) \omega_{f}(1+x)\right] } \\
& \times\left[\frac{2 P_{n}^{2}(x) P_{n}^{\prime 2}(x)}{n^{2}(n+1)^{2}}+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{2}(x) P_{n}^{\prime 2}(x)}{n(n+1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which, owing to simple properties of the modulus of continuity, at once gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{1}\right|+\left|T_{2}\right| & \leqslant 24 \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}\right)+18 \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}\right) \\
& =42 \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $T_{3}$ and $T_{4}$, the patterns are similar. Therefore we work out only one of them. For instance, $T_{3}$ is first broken into two parts, viz.,

$$
T_{3}=T_{31}+T_{32}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{31}=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j_{1}}}^{n}\left[f\left(x_{k}\right)-f(x)\right] h_{k}^{(1)}(x) \tag{1.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{32}=\left[f\left(x_{j_{1}}\right)-f(x)\right] h_{j_{1}}^{(1)}(x) . \tag{1.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{32}\right| \leqslant C_{15} \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\left|R_{n}(x)\right|}{n}\right) \tag{i.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a direct conclusion of suitable forms of Lemma 2 and the inequality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{k}^{(1)}(x) \leqslant 1
$$

Using the appropriate forms of Lemma 1, we have the desired form for $T_{31}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{31}\right| \leqslant R_{n}^{2} C_{16} \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n}\left[\omega_{f}\left(\frac{i_{1} \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{n}\right)+\omega_{f}\left(\frac{1}{i_{1}^{2}}\right)\right] . \tag{1.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now applying Lemma 6, we get the required form. Because of (1.53)-(1.57) we have our theorem once the estimates for $T_{4}$ are also computed.

Similar arguments apply to part (b).
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